

Formerly Florida Family Policy Council

U.S. PRESIDENT		ELECTION DAY IS TUESDAY NOVEMBER 5	U.S. SENATE	
Donald TRUMP	Kamala HARRIS		Debbie MUCARSEL-POWELL	Rick SCOTT
R	D	ON THE ISSUES	D	R
O OPPOSES ^{1*}	S SUPPORTS ^{2*}	LATE-TERM ABORTION Legalizing unrestricted late-term abortion.	S SUPPORTS ^{21*}	O OPPOSES ^{22*}
O OPPOSES ^{3*}	S SUPPORTS ^{4*}	SINGLE PAYER HEALTHCARE Eliminating private health insurance for single government-run healthcare system.	U UNDECIDED ^{23*}	O OPPOSES ^{24*}
S SUPPORTS ^{5*}	O OPPOSES ^{6*}	AMERICAN ENERGY INDEPENDENCE Supporting the increase of domestic oil and natural gas production by removing select environmental regulations.	O OPPOSES ^{25*}	S SUPPORTS ^{26*}
S SUPPORTS ^{7*}	O OPPOSES ^{8*}	RELIGIOUS FREEDOM Protecting individuals and businesses from being forced to provide services in violation of their faith or conscience.	O OPPOSES ^{27*}	S SUPPORTS ^{28*}
O OPPOSES ^{9*}	S SUPPORTS ^{10*}	ABORTION FUNDING Using taxpayer dollars to fund abortions.	S SUPPORTS ^{29*}	O OPPOSES ^{30*}
O OPPOSES ^{11*}	S SUPPORTS ^{12*}	GENDER IDEOLOGY Providing taxpayer funding for gender reassignment surgeries on minors.	S SUPPORTS ^{31*}	O OPPOSES ^{32*}
O OPPOSES ^{13*}	S SUPPORTS ^{14*}	GIRLS' SPORTS Permitting biologically male athletes to participate in girls' sports.	S SUPPORTS ^{33*}	O OPPOSES ^{34*}
S SUPPORTS ^{15*}	O OPPOSES ^{16*}	ID & CITIZENSHIP VOTING REQUIREMENTS Allowing only citizens to vote and only with the proper ID.	O OPPOSES ^{35*}	S SUPPORTS ^{36*}
O OPPOSES ^{17*}	S SUPPORTS ^{18*}	GREEN NEW DEAL Supporting comprehensive climate change regulations.	S SUPPORTS ^{37*}	O OPPOSES ^{38*}
S SUPPORTS ^{19*}	O OPPOSES ^{20*}	SUPREME COURT JUSTICES Appointing Justices who interpret the Constitution by adhering to the Framers' original intent.	O OPPOSES ^{39*}	S SUPPORTS ^{40*}

* For more information on candidates, amendments, judges, issue source links & footnotes visit www.FLfamily.org or scan the QR code. Text FL to 80550 to get a digital guide and a click to share tool to help you share this with friends!!



FLORIDA STATE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT ISSUE ENDORSEMENTS

AMENDMENT 1 VOTE YES or NO

Partisan School Board Elections

ORIGIN: State Legislature

SUMMARY: Currently all candidates for school board run as nonpartisan (NOP) candidates. This amendment would change that system by requiring all candidates to run with a party affiliation in closed party primaries. Proponents maintain this amendment will inform voters of party identity and potentially the political ideologies of candidates, thus exposing liberal political ideologues in red school districts. Some opponents maintain that partisan politics should have no place in school board races while others maintain that the amendment would eliminate conservative candidates from contention in blue school districts, adding that liberal candidates in red counties could simply change party affiliation and bring their liberal policies to the school districts regardless. A YES vote on this amendment would require all candidates to run on a party ticket with only partisan voters allowed to participate in school board primaries. A NO vote on this amendment would leave the nonpartisan status in place for school board races.

AMENDMENT 2 VOTE YES

The Right to Fish and Hunt

ORIGIN: State Legislature

SUMMARY: This amendment would forever protect fishing and hunting as humane and effective ways to manage Florida's wildlife. Fishing and hunting are recognized by both environmentalists and conservationists as essential methods for maintaining healthy wildlife populations and ecosystems. Experts agree that Amendment 2 is the right approach for managing Florida's wildlife and wild lands as shown when the Florida Legislature overwhelmingly supported this amendment with a vote of 154-1! As stewards of God's creation, this amendment allows us to exercise responsible management of wildlife, aligning with our Christian values of care and respect for all of God's creation. By supporting Amendment 2, we can ensure that Florida's rich natural heritage is preserved for future generations through responsible and humane practices. This amendment does not permit trespassing and allows the FWC to continue to responsibly regulate these activities in Florida.

AMENDMENT 3 VOTE NO

Legalizing Recreational Marijuana

ORIGIN: Citizen Initiative

SUMMARY: This amendment will open the door to recreational marijuana legalization, including production, distribution, and possession. No clear guidelines for law enforcement are provided, leading many law enforcement leaders to oppose the amendment. Additionally, the amendment creates a semi-monopoly for a handful of pharmaceutical companies to control the entire production process and places the Florida Constitution in direct conflict with federal drug laws. The devastating effects of recreational marijuana use include impaired educational learning, threats to workplace safety, and excessive pollution in public places. The damage seen in other states that have legalized recreational marijuana is well documented, including increased addiction and crime, severe harm to marriages and families, decreased workforce productivity, and a rise in illegal black market activity from consumers seeking less expensive marijuana sources. We fully recommend a NO vote to ensure that Florida continues to be a family and business-friendly state unpolluted by a widespread and poorly regulated recreational drug industry. For more information, visit www.no-on3.com.

AMENDMENT 4 VOTE NO

Legalized Abortion Until Birth

ORIGIN: Citizen Initiative

SUMMARY: This Amendment is a radical and deceptive proposal that would enshrine unlimited abortion from conception until birth in our State Constitution as a fundamental right. Unlike most ballot initiatives, NONE of Amendment 4's key terms – like "government interference", "viability", "patient's health", or "healthcare provider" – are defined. Because "viability" is not defined, this amendment has no defined limits on late-term abortion. Additionally, "patient's health" is not defined, creating a massive loophole so that mental anguish, stress, or morning sickness could be used to justify late-term abortions, even when the baby can feel pain. Amendment 4 allows doctors to be removed from the abortion decision-making process by not requiring an actual medical doctor to determine if an abortion is necessary to protect the mother's health or if the baby she is carrying is viable. Instead, it allows any so-called "healthcare provider" to make these determinations. Under Florida law, "healthcare provider" isn't limited to doctors, so even employees of abortion clinics who are not licensed medical doctors would be able to approve late-term abortions. In a bait and switch, Amendment 4 claims to protect "parental notification" although it deceptively fails to mention that "parental consent" laws in Florida would be repealed, making abortion the only medical procedure a minor in Florida could receive without parental consent. Finally, the radical activists who authored this amendment will use the deceptively broad language to file lawsuits to force Florida taxpayers to pay for abortions, exactly as they have done in other states that have passed these radical abortion initiatives. For more information, visit www.VoteNoOn4Florida.com.

AMENDMENT 5 VOTE YES

Homestead Exemption Tied to Inflation

ORIGIN: State Legislature

SUMMARY: This amendment would require the state's Homestead Tax Exemption to be tied to the Consumer Price Index. Hence, when inflation increases property values, the homestead exemption would also increase, helping ease the tax burden on Florida's families. We strongly encourage a YES vote to ensure the Homestead Exemption keeps pace with rising inflation.

AMENDMENT 6 VOTE YES

The Repeal of Taxpayer Funding for Political Campaigns

ORIGIN: State Legislature

SUMMARY: Under the current Constitution, select candidates running for statewide office are entitled to taxpayer dollars to subsidize their political campaigns. In order to qualify for those funds, candidates are required to follow certain funding and spending restrictions. The original intent of the amendment was to reduce candidates' dependence on dark money and special interests; however, it has become a program that simply funnels taxpayers' dollars to political campaigns. We strongly recommend voting YES to repeal this waste of taxpayer dollars.

